

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com



**BHARATMALA**  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



**NHIDCL**  
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION  
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

**NHIDCL/ACP/Water Harvesting System/2018/900**

**Dated : 24.10.2018**

To

<b>M/s KD Enterprises</b> E-177/13, Rajqapuri, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi-110059 Email: k.d.enterprize@gmail.com	<b>M/s Prince Enterprises</b> A-401, Engineer Park Omega-I, Greater Noida (GB Nagar) UP, Mobile no. 9999318940 Email: sushilsharma134@gmail.com
<b>M/s DRB Engineering Co.</b> RZB-73, Vishwas Park, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi-110059 Email: drbengineeringco2017@gmail.com	

**Sub:** Construction of Rain Water Harvesting System in the premises of Automated Car Parking, Transport Bhawan, New Delhi-110001-Technical Evaluation Result-Reg.

Sir,

- Please refer to your Bids submitted for the subject project. Proposal of the following firms have been found technically qualified and eligible for financial bid opening:

Sr. No.	Name of the Firms	Eligibility Status
1.	M/s KD Enterprises	Responsive
2.	M/s Prince Enterprises	Responsive
3.	M/s DRB Engineering Co.	Non-Responsive

- The Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee is enclosed herewith.
- Financial Bids of all the aforesaid technically qualified firms shall be opened on **25.10.2018 at 1530 Hrs** at NHIDCL HQ, PTI Building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Yours Sincerely

**Col Rajeev Sood (Retd)**  
General Manager (Tech)





Encl: As above.



National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.  
(Technical Division)





Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for evaluation of Technical Bids for the work "Construction of Rain Water Harvesting System in the premises of Automated Car Parking, Transport Bhawan, New Delhi-110001" at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on 15.10.2018.

- 1) The Bids for the subject work were invited on 09.07.2018 with Bid Due Date on 02.08.2018.
- 2) In all 03 (Three) Bids were received from the following firms.
  - a) M/s KD Enterprises.
  - b) M/s Prince Enterprises.
  - c) M/s DRB Engineering Co.
- 3) The Bids were opened by Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) on 03.08.2018. Further, the technical evaluation of the bids was carried out by Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) on 06.08.2018. ETEC vide Minutes of Meeting dated 06.08.2018 adjudged that M/s KD Enterprises is technically responsive and as regard to M/s Prince Enterprises, the Committee decided to seek certain clarifications. The Committee considered M/s DRB Engineering Co. as technically non-responsive due to non-submission of experience certificate of similar nature works, however, the Competent Authority decided to give a chance to M/s DRB Engineering as well and decided to seek clarification.
- 4) Accordingly, clarifications were sought from M/s Prince Enterprises & M/s DRB Engineering Co. and the replies were received from the bidders. (Refer Minutes of Meeting dated 18.09.2018 placed at *Annexure-I* for the clarifications sought and the replies received).
- 5) After due deliberation of the replies received from the bidders, the Committee vide Minutes of Meeting dated 18.09.2018 adjudged that **M/s DRB Engineering is technically non-responsive**, since no such policy for relaxation of norms of Prior Experience for MSME Registered Enterprises prevail in NHIDCL, and as regard to the mismatch between Work Order & Completion Certificate submitted by **M/s Prince Enterprises**, the Committee recommended to seek clarification directly from the certificate issuing organization i.e. M/s Construction & Design Services, UP Jal Nigam, who has issued the Completion Certificates for M/s Prince Enterprises.
- 6) Accordingly, clarification was sought from M /s Construction & Design Services, UP Jal Nigam and the reply received is as under:

Sr.No.	Clause Reference	Clarification Sought from certificate issuing organization i.e. M/s Construction & Design Services, UP Jal Nigam	Reply received from M/s Construction & Design Services, UP Jal Nigam (copy of reply placed at Annexure-II)	Comments of ETEC
1.	Clause 3 (I) of Section 2 of RFP	<p>The Committee found the following mismatch between Completion Certificates &amp; Work Order as under:</p> <p><u>Work of Rs. 42 Lakh</u> As per the work order, the completion period is of 2 months from the date of issue of work order, however, in the experience certificate, completion period mentioned is of 1 year duration.</p> <p><u>Work of Rs. 38.19 Lakh</u> Order no. mentioned in the Work Order &amp; Completion Certificate is different.</p>	<p><u>Work of Rs. 42 Lakh</u> Experience Certificate No. 412/ कार्य- सा0/26 dated 08.04.2014 for the work order no. 42/Work-33/11 dated 04.02.2013, the completion period as per work order was two months from the date of start and the work has been completed within the given period i.e. upto 03.04.2013, which has been incorrectly typed as 03.02.2014 in the certificate.</p> <p><u>Work of Rs. 38.19 Lakh</u> The work costing Rs. 38.19 Lakh for which Experience certificate issued with dispatch no. 73/Work-33/22 dated 07.11.2014 is for the work order no. 53/Work-33/22 dated 11.02.2013, which is incorrectly printed as 42/Work-33/11 dated 04.02.2013.</p>	<p>The certificate issuing organization i.e. M/s Construction &amp; Design Services has stated that the mismatch between Completion Certificates &amp; Work Order is due to typographical error.</p> <p>The Committee observed that these two works were completed in April 2013.</p> <p>Clause 4(b) &amp; 4(A)(b) of Section II of RFP states that the Contractor should have completed similar works during last 5 years (commencing from 2012-13). Since, the aforementioned Clauses mentions the commencing period from 2012-13, therefore, the Committee is of the opinion that these works may be considered.</p>


7) Hence, the Committee is of the view that M/s Prince Enterprises is technically responsive.








- 8) In view of the above, the Committee recommends to open the Financial Bids of the two technically responsive bidders i.e. M/s KD Enterprises and M/s Prince Enterprises, after the approval of the Competent Authority.

*Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.*

Col A Ahluwalia  
(Retd)  
(ED-I)  
Chairman

  
Col Rajeev Sood  
(Retd)  
(GM-Tech)  
Member  
Secretary

  
A.K. Gupta  
GM (Tech)  
Member

  
V. Jaiswal  
DGM (Tech)  
Member

  
U. Chatterjee  
DGM (Fin)  
Member

